


FIGURE 1






FIGURE 2




FIGURE 3






FIGURE 4








FIGURE 5




FIGURE 6

Table 1

Comparison of RDP58 administration times on EAE disease progression^a

Treatment	Incidence ^b	Mean day of onset ^c (mean + S.E.M.)	Disease Index ^d (mean ± S.E.M.)	Mean maximum severity ^c (mean + S.E.M.)
Mannitol RDP58 (d 1) RDP58 (d 4) RDP58 (d 7) RDP58 (d 10)	5/5 5/5 3/5 2/5 0/5*	11.4 ± 0.2 13.6 ± 0.2* 12.7 ± 0.7 12.0 ± 0.0	1.7 ± 0.3 0.3 ± 0.2* 0.3 ± 0.1* 0.1 ± 0.1* 0.0 ± 0.0*	2.6 ± 0.4 1.2 ± 0.2 1.0 ± 0.4 0.4 ± 0.2* 0.0 ± 0.0*

^aLewis rats immunized with MBP+CFA (day 0) and treated with 150µg RDP58 (0.81 mg/kg) by icv injection as described in Materials and Methods. ^bParameter of disease evaluated by Fisher's exact test (*P<0.01).

FIGURE 7

^cParameter of disease evaluated by Kruskal-Wallis test (*P<0.05 with post hoc analysis using Dunn's multiple comparison test).

^dParameter of disease evaluated by ANOVA (*P<0.01 with post hoc analysis using Dunnett's multiple comparison test).